

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/740,698	12/19/2003	Sign Erickson Varner	56086 (71699)	3885
49383 7590 0521/2010 EDWARDS ANGELL PALMER & DODGE LLP P.O. BOX 55874			EXAMINER	
			MEHTA, BHISMA	
BOSTON, MA 02205			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3767	•
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/21/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Ī	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/740,698	VARNER ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	BHISMA MEHTA	3767	

--The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

THE REPLY FILED <u>03 May 2010</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.
1. 🛛 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this
application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the
application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request
for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time
periods:
M

The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date of the final rejection.

b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.

Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).

Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL

2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on 03 December 2009. A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).

 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); 	
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below):	
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues appeal; and/or	for
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	
4. 🔲 The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324	4).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):	
6. 🔲 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment cancelin	ng the
non-allowable claim(s).	
7. 🛛 For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) 🔲 will not be entered, or b) 🖾 will be entered and an explanation	ı of
how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.	
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	
Claim(s) allowed:	
Claim(s) objected to:	
Claim(s) rejected: <u>68-119.122-127,129 and 132-138</u> .	
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	
8. 🗌 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will <u>not</u> be enter	
because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessar was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	y and
9. 🔲 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be	
entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).	de a

10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.

REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER

11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:

	See Continuation Sheet.
12. 🔲	Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).
13. □	Other:

/Tatyana Zalukaeva/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3761

/Bhisma Mehta/ Examiner, Art Unit 3767

AMENDMENTS

Continuation of 11.: Applicant's arguments with regards to the 103(a) rejections have been considered but are not deemed persuasive. As detailed in the office action mailed June 3, 2009, the anchoring part (14a) is part of the body member (12) of Weiner et al and the teaching of Rosenman et al is used to provide the entire body member with a coil or zig-zag shape. Furthermore, one would have been motivated to modify the body member (12) of Weiner et al which includes the anchoring part (14a) to provide the coil or zig-zag shape taught by Rosenman et al along the entire body member which would be desirable for providing securement in different areas of the body where the device is inserted and this includes when the device is inserted in the eye where the thicknesses of the outer layers of the solid tissue of the eye can vary from patient to patient. Therefore, providing the color zig-zag shape along the entire length of the body member takes into account the material where the device is being anchored as it allows for the device to be used in various parts of a patient's body depending on the specific anothers.